Climate Change: Simple, Serious, Solvable





Scott Denning

Atmospheric Science, CSU

1. Simple

2. Serious

3. Solvable

Simple Serious

3. Solvable



- **Day** is warmer than **night**
- **Summer** is warmer than winter
- **Phoenix** is warmer than **Fargo**





Dancing Molecules and Heat Rays!

- Nearly all of the air is made of oxygen (O₂) and nitrogen (N₂) in which two atoms of the same element share electrons
- Infrared (heat) energy radiated up from the surface can be absorbed by these molecules, but not very well







Dancing Molecules and Heat Rays!

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂) and water vapor (H₂O) are different!
- They have many more ways to vibrate and rotate, so they are very good at absorbing and emitting infrared (heat) radiation



Molecules that have many ways to wiggle are called "Greenhouse" molecules

Absorption spectrum of CO2 was measured by John Tyndall in 1863



1856 – Eunice Foote



Seneca Falls, New York July 19=20, 1848

LADIES:

	the second second second second
Lucretia Mott	Sophronia Ta
Harriet Cady Eaton	Cynthia Day
Margaret Pryor	Hannah Plan
Elizabeth Cady Stanton	Lucy Jones
Eunice Newton Foote	Sarah Whitn
Mary Ann M'Clintock	Mary H Hall
Margaret Schooley	Elizabeth Cor
Martha C Wright	Sally Pitcher
Tane C Hunt	Mary Conklin
Amy Post	Susan Quinn
Catherine E Stabbing	Moru S Mirr
Mary App Frink	Phaba King
Lydia Mount	Julia Ann Dr
Dalia Mathema	Charlotta Wa
Cathoring C. Paine	Martha Linda
Elizabeth W MiClierock	Darothy Mat
Maluda Sauta	Dorothy Mat
Phobo Mochan	Eunice Barke
Cath Mine Shaw	Saran R. Wo
Catherine Shaw	Lydia Gild
Deboran Scott	Sarah Hoffma
Safan Hallowell	Elizabeth Les
Mary M Clintock	Martha Ridle
Mary Gilbert	and the second second
	GENILE
Richard P. Hunt	Willlam S. D
Samuel D. Tillman	James Mott
Justin Williams	William Burro
Elisha Foote	Robert Small
Frederick Douglass	Jacob Mathew
Henry W. Seymour	Charles L. He
Hanry Commons	Thomas MIC

David Spalding

Elias J. Doty

John Jones

William G. Barker

Rachel D. Bonnel Betsey Tewksbury Rhoda Palmer Margaret Ionking Cynthia owell Mary M usan F Rebecca Mary F Lucy S Lovina odward Sarah S

MEN:

Saron Phillips

Jonathan Metcalf

Jacob P. Chamberlain

88888888888888888888888888888

Eliza M Maria E Elizabel Carolin Ann Po Experie Antoine Hannah Sarah Si

Nathan SEV Edward Isaac Va homas

E. W. C

Stephen

Henry H

Azaliah



CIRCUMSTANCES

Affecting the Beat of the Sun's Bays.

BY MRS. EUNICE FOOTE.

Beets before the Emeriran Consectation for the Chinarement of AUGUOT 25, 1854

Thirdly. The highest effect of the sun's rays I have found to

be in carbonic acid gas. One of the receivers was filled with it, the other with mon air, and the res

In Common Air.		1	In Carbonic	In Carbonic Acid Gas.	
In shade.	In sun.	1	In shade.	In sun.	
 80	90		80	90	
81	94		84	100	
80	99		84	110	
81	100		85	120	

The receiver containing the gas became itself much heatedvery sensibly more so than the other-and on being removed, it was many times as long in cooling.

An atmosphere of that gas would give to our earth a high temperature; and if as some suppose, at one period of its his-tory the air had mixed with it a larger proportion than at pres-ent, an increased temperature from its own action as well as from increased weight must have necessarily resulted. On comparing the sun's heat in different gases, I found it to be in hydrogen gas, 104°; in common air, 106°; in oxygen gas, 108°; and in carbonic acid gas, 125°.

Common Sense



John Tyndall, January 1863

- Doubling CO₂ would add 4 watts to every square meter of the Earth, 24/7/365
- Doing that would make the surface warmer
- This was known before light bulbs were invented!

Common Myth #1 "Scientists expect a warmer future because it's been warming up recently" 1.0 .8 - Annual Mean Femperature Anomaly (°C) 5-year Running Mean .6 2 .4 hen .2 to 0 https://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp 1900 1920 2000 1880 1940 1960 1980

Simple Serious

3. Solvable

Recent Changes



- More warming on land than ocean
- Warming since 1900 less than 1 C over ocean
- Warming since 1900 around 1 C over land

Observed Change 1901-2012



Past and Future

an

as

1900

850

to 1

relative

υ υ

5

3

2

0

°C

approximation of preindustrial levels)



How much warmer?

5 °F

- Land vs Oceans !
- North vs South
- Snowy vs not

- We warm up more
- 3 °C to 6 °C
- 5 °F to 10 °F







- In Colorado, temps drop about 10 F for each 3000 feet of elevation
 - Denver -> Estes Park
 - Estes Park -> Trail Ridge Road
- But in 100 years instead of 100 centuries!



A Region On the Edge

75 million people in the western US live in a region with marginal precipitation

Just enough snow to support forests and reservoirs

Just enough irrigation water to support farming

Just enough water for cities and towns



Loss of Mountain Spring Snowpack



Declining Snowpack

40 years of daily snow records from 549 stations across the western USA

Station Color Key:

Red: Decline of more than 50% Orange: Decline between 20% & 50% Yellow: Nearly steady over 40 years Green: Increase between 20% & 50% Blue: Increase more than 50%





Coming droughts *much* worse than any in past 1000 years

Warming Promotes Wildfire

- 1. Warmer air increases evaporative demand on forests
- 2. Longer warm season depletes soil moisture
- 3. More frequent extremely hot, dry, windy days when fires are uncontrollable



Projected Increase in Area Burned



NRC 2011

Common Myth #2

"When we reduce or stop burning fossil fuel, CO_2 will go away and things will go back to normal" 1400

- If China, India, & Africa industrialize with coal, CO_2 will rise \odot to 4x preindustrial
- Extra CO₂ will last for thousands of years after coal is gone



Simple Serious

3. Solvable

Stop setting stuff on fire!

Like Moore's Law



 To limit warming to 2 C, emissions have to fall 50% each decade starting now!

• This will be really hard!

Rockstrom et al (2017) A roadmap for rapid decarbonization *Science* 24 Mar 2017: 355, 1269-1271 DOI: 10.1126/science.aah3443

How Much Will We Burn?





Kaya Identity

Four factors determine fossil fuel emissions:

- Population
- Economic activity
- Energy efficiency of economy
- Carbon efficiency of energy



Data sources: Before 1940: Kremer (1993) – "Population Growth and Technological Change: One Million B.C. to 1990"; After: UN Population Division (2012), including population projection (medium variant) The data visualization is taken from OurWorldinData.org. There you find the raw data and more visualizations on this topic. Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser

UN Sustainable Development Goals

- 1. Eliminate Extreme Poverty
- 2. Improve Equality of Opportunity
- 3. Don't Destroy the World



How is the **world's wealth** shared amongst its population?



Wealth' is defined as the merketable value of financial assets plus non-financial seets (principally housing and land) owned by an adult, less debts journo. Global Weath Report 2013. Zunch: Criefel Suisse

Read the report #Outlook2015

SDG 1: End Extreme Poverty

Total population living in extreme poverty, by world region

Numbers are in millions of people. Extreme poverty is defined as living with per capita household consumption below 1.90 international dollars per day (in 2011 PPP prices). International dollars are adjusted for inflation and for price differences across countries.



^{• &}lt; \$1.90 / day

- 0.9 billion people worldwide
- Cut in half since
 1990!
- Almost all in South Asia & Tropical Africa

Source: PovcalNet (World Bank)

OurWorldInData.org/extreme-poverty/ · CC BY

Note: Consumption per capita is the preferred welfare indicator for the World Bank's analysis of global poverty. However, for about 25% of the countries, estimates correspond to income, rather than consumption.

Global inequality in living conditions

between the world's worst-off and best-off countries

SDG2



Data source: all data for 2017 is taken from various UN publications. Historical estimates for 1800 are from OECD – How was life? and Our World in Data This is a visualization from OurWorldinData.org, where you find data and research on the world's largest problems.

Licensed under CC-BY by the author Max Roser.

Our World in Data

Energy Use in Buildings



INTERVIEW with ED MAZRIA founder of architecture 2030 introduces the 2030 palette

In the developed world, our biggest energy need is constructing and operating buildings!

Almost twice as much as transport or industry!

LOTS of room for improvement!

Efficient Architects!







Simple Plan for Deep Decarbonization

Clean up electricity
 Electrify everything

Simple.

See reading

https://www.vox.com/2016/9/19/12938086/electrify-everything

Variable Supply & Demand

 Clean energy is already cheaper than old-fashioned energy



 The real challenge is getting it from where it's made to where and when it's used



Future cost-competitive electricity systems and their impact on US CO₂ emissions

Alexander E. MacDonald^{1*†}, Christopher T. M. Clack^{1,2*†}, Anneliese Alexander^{1,2}, Adam Dunbar¹, James Wilczak¹ and Yuanfu Xie¹

- For the US, build out new generation sources that are cheaper than just OPERATING existing sources
- Connect them across regions w/a new HVDC grid
- Meet 100% of demand 100% of the time
- 80% CO2 emissions reduction in 10 years
- Nobody's electric bills go up

HVDC Transmission!

2000+
1000-2000
500-1000
200-500
100-200
75-100
50-75
30-50
10-30
5-10
<5

World Population

Density Map

@nerdy.maps u/some_dawid_guy

Created with mapchart.net ©

Global Citizens

- Deep decarbonization is harder than building clean energy in the first place
- Nobody is going to spend \$100T building land lines across Africa
- The best & cheapest new energy will be in places without existing stuff



Costs

- Conversion to 100% noncarbon energy will cost about 1% of GDP
- That's about what it cost to retrofit all the world's cities with indoor plumbing a century ago ...
- It was *SO* worth it!

Costs in Context

Item	Cost
1% of global GDP	\$850 billion per year
New mobile phones (handsets only)	\$600 billion per year
US Military (DOD only)	\$665 billion per year
New cars & light trucks (90 million/year @ \$25,000)	\$2,250 billion per year
Roads (64 million miles @ \$5M/mile)	\$ 320 TRILLION total

Rescuing civilization will cost a lot of money.

- Probably in the neighborhood of what we spend on brand new phones or the military
- Maybe 1/3 of what we spend on new cars
- *Vastly less* than we have spent on roads!

My Grandparents



Built subways, sewers, the electrical grid, defeated the Nazis





Built the Interstate Highways, fought the cold war, landed on the Moon!





Invented the PC, Built the internet, replaced billions of land-lines with cell phones





My Kids' Generation





Will replace the world's energy system *again!*

Choose Your Future

Many people think: "Our well-being is based on stuff we extract from the ground

When we stop burning coal, will our descendants shiver in the dark?

Choose Your Future

I prefer:

"We create our well-being through creativity, ingenuity, and hard work"



The future is bright!